

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/882,826	TSAO, CHE-CHIH	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mike Fatahiyar	2674	

Applicant(s)
--------------

TSAO, CHE-CHIH

Art Unit

2674

**Status of Application:** Supplemental notice of allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time: 5:30PM**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## None

30 and 31

None

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

M. Gatchisa  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Tsao was informed that the previous examiner's amendment, dated 1/26/05, to claim 30, lines 5 and 15 was wrong and instead the same amendments, at the same lines(i.e., 5 and 15) should have been done to claim 31. The noted change was agreed by Mr. Tsao and entered by a supplemental examiner's amendment..